

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
10/084,652	02/28/2002		Timothy D. Wilkinson	124-930	3971	
23117	7590	03/07/2005		EXAMINER		
NIXON & Y		łye, PC	PHAN, THANH S			
8TH FLOOR				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
ARLINGTO	N, VA 22	201-4714		2841		
				DATE MAILED: 03/07/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			XK
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- (7G
Office Action Comments	10/084,652	WILKINSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
TI MANUNO DATE AND	Thanh S Phan	2841	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT at tatute. cause the application to become AB.	rply be timely filed r (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communi	ication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>11/19</u> /04.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur			its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-21 and 28-34 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 22-27 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	thdrawn from consideration.	·	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	-, , · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	` '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the call. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the call.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	Examiner: Note the attached		, <u>.</u>
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. Iments have been received in Apericantly documents have been formally (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	e .
Attachment(s)	" □	(Date 117)	
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-21 and 28-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee [US 5,838,414] in view of Levine et al. [US 5,902,165].

Regarding claims 1-6, Lee discloses a semiconductor back plane [figure 1] comprising an array of electronic elements [6] comprising at least a first and second electronic element layers [8, 22] and at least one separate spacer [18] which rises higher over the back plane [2] than the array [6] and a second spacer layer [10].

Lee discloses the instant claimed invention except for the first and second spacer layer being comprise of substantially the same material as the electronic layers.

Levine et al. disclose a plurality of spacers [125] having the same material as the electronic layers.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the spacer design of Levine et al. with Lee to facilitate manufacture.

Regarding claims 7-8, Lee discloses a plurality of spacers regularly distributed over the backplane [figures 3-5].

Application/Control Number: 10/084,652

Art Unit: 2841

Regarding claims 9-10, Lee discloses the array providing a plurality of addressable locations with each location having a spacer associated therewith [figure 2].

Regarding claims 11-12, Lee disclose the instant claimed invention except for the specific shape of the spacers.

The specific shape of the spacers would have been dictated by the particular backplane configuration and is considered to be obvious to the ordinary practitioner.

Regarding claim 13-15, Levine et al. discloses an insulating layer [32] having a general constant thickness extending over at least one layer.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the insulating layer of Levine et al. in Lee for the purpose of protecting the electronic layer.

Regarding claims 16-20 and 32-34, Lee discloses a reflective electrode [4] mounted on the insulating layer.

Regarding claim 21, Lee discloses the claimed invention except for at least some of the spacers being disposed externally of the array. Lee teaches that it is known to alternating the arrangement of the spacers as set forth at column 6, lines 47-59. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have the spacers disposed externally of the array to achieve a desired spacers pattern.

Regarding claims 28-31, the claimed method steps are necessitated by the product structure.

Claims 22-27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims1-34 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh S Phan whose telephone number is 571-272-2109. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on 571-272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 2841

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tsp

RANDY GIBSON PRIMARY EXAMINER